The Impact of Sex Chromosomes on Cardiovascular Disease: A Systematic Review

Name: Phebe J. Groenheide UU Student number: 8082911 Date: 28-10-2024

Group: Department of Experimental Cardiology, UMC Utrecht Daily supervisor: Anna E. Spiering First examiner: Prof. Dr. Hester M. den Ruijter Second examiner: Dr. Magdalena Harakalova

Content

Plain language summary

Cardiovascular (heart and blood vessel) diseases are the leading cause of death for both men and women around the world. While many studies look at how hormones affect cardiovascular health, we know less about sex chromosomes. Sex chromosomes are parts of our inherited traits that determine if a person is male or female. Females have two X sex chromosomes, one from their mother and one from their father. Males have one X chromosome from their mother and one Y sex chromosome from their father. This review looks at how changes and variations in sex chromosomes can affect the risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women.

We searched a medical database for studies about sex chromosomes and cardiovascular diseases. We collected important information from each study and sorted them by different types of sex chromosome changes.

We found 29 studies that looked at how sex chromosomes relate to cardiovascular disease. There were no links between losing an X chromosome in females and disease. Changes in how the X chromosome works may be linked to a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. Losing the Y chromosome in males can harm cardiovascular health and lead to serious problems. Some studies linked specific variations of the Y chromosome to cardiovascular disease, while others did not.

Our review suggests that some changes in sex chromosomes are connected to a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. However, we still need to understand better how these sex chromosomes influence cardiovascular health and how to improve the way we check for disease risk in men and women.

Abstract

Background: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the leading cause of death worldwide for both men and women. While most research on CVD sex differences focuses on sex hormones, the role of sex chromosomes is less understood. This systematic review examines how sex chromosome abnormalities and variations—loss of chromosome X (LOX) and Y (LOY), X chromosome inactivation (XCI) skewing and escape, and X and Y chromosome variations—affect CVD risk and outcomes.

Methods: A systematic search was conducted in PubMed on September 4, 2024, using Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms related to CVDs and sex chromosomes, excluding congenital sex chromosome disorders. After removing duplicates, records were screened for relevance based on predefined criteria, focusing on clinical and population-based studies. Data extraction included study characteristics, population details, sex chromosome abnormalities, and key findings. Records were categorized by type of chromosomal abnormality: LOX, XCI skewing, X chromosome variations, LOY, and Y chromosome variations.

Results: 29 studies were included, examining the role of sex chromosomes in CVD. There was only one study on LOX and CVD, which found no significant association between the two. Three studies on XCI skewing identified connections to thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia patients and atherosclerotic CVD risk in the general population. Additionally, XCI skewing in atherosclerotic patients was linked to plaque hemorrhage and peripheral artery events. One study on X chromosome variation linked maternal family history to hypertension. Seven studies indicated that LOY negatively affects cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality. Seventeen studies investigated Y chromosome haplogroups (e.g. P, K, R, YAP, I); some identified associations with CVD risk, while others found no significant links.

Conclusion: Sex chromosome abnormalities and variations—particularly XCI skewing, LOY, and Y chromosome haplogroups—are associated with CVD. However, the causal relationships remain unclear, highlighting the need for further research to elucidate underlying mechanisms and enhance CVD risk assessment.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death worldwide for men and women (1). Extensive research has focused on sex differences in CVD typically attributed to hormonal factors (2). However, the role of sex chromosomes in CVD remains underexplored (2). Emerging evidence indicates that sex chromosomes significantly influence disease development and overall health throughout life (2). This review aims to explore the impact of sex chromosome abnormalities and variations on CVD. We review acquired abnormalities and variations in sex chromosomes, including loss of chromosome X (LOX), skewing and escape of X chromosome inactivation (XCI), loss of chromosome Y (LOY), and X and Y chromosome variations. Notably, studies on LOX, XCI skewing, and escape focus on females, while LOY and Y chromosome variations are examined in males. X chromosome variations are relevant to both sexes.

LOX refers to the general loss of an X chromosome in a cell. In contrast, mosaic LOX (mLOX) occurs when some cells in an individual lose one X chromosome while others retain both, creating a mosaic of genotypes within one individual (**Figure 1A**) (3). mLOX is more prevalent than losses in autosomes and mainly affects the inactivated X chromosome (3). Additionally, mLOX is associated with an increased risk of leukemia, underscoring its health implications (4).

XCI equalizes gene dosage between sexes, as females have two X chromosomes (one maternal and one paternal), while males have one (maternal) (5). In females, one X chromosome is randomly inactivated, forming a transcriptionally inactive chromosome (Xi); this irreversible process is clonally transmitted to daughter cells (3). Skewing occurs when one X chromosome is preferentially inactivated, leading to a deviation from the expected random XCI (**Figure 1B**) (6). An estimated 1.5%-23% of females exhibit skewed XCI, which may influence the occurrence and severity of CVD. XCI escape refers to genes on the Xi that evade inactivation, with approximately 15%-30% of Xi genes remaining expressed (**Figure 1C**) (6). These "X escape genes" vary among individuals and cell types and can contribute to differences in gene expression between sexes, potentially influencing pathogenesis (2,6). In this review, we do not address the impact of specific escape genes on CVD.

LOY refers to the absence of the Y chromosome in male cells (**Figure 1D**). Mosaic LOY (mLOY) is particularly prevalent in elderly men, representing the most common chromosomal alteration in their leukocytes (7). mLOY is associated with shorter life expectancy, increased mortality, and various disorders, including cancer (8).

Variations in the X and Y chromosomes may influence CVD risk, but they are often overlooked in genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which typically focus on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Haplogroups refer to large groups of haplotypes that share a common ancestor identified by specific genetic mutations (9,10). These mutations can provide insights into population history. In contrast, haplotypes consist of smaller sets of DNA variations that are inherited together. This review focuses on Y chromosome haplogroups to explore how variations contribute to CVD risk. Studying Y chromosome haplogroups is relevant as they may uncover male-specific genetic factors and population differences in CVD.

Despite established associations between acquired sex chromosome abnormalities and various diseases, their specific relationship with CVD remains fragmented and not widely acknowledged. This systematic review synthesizes current knowledge on LOX, XCI skewing and escape, LOY, and X and Y variations in CVD to clarify sex differences in CVD characteristics and risks.

Figure 1. Schematic overview of sex chromosome abnormalities: A) mosaic loss of chromosome X; B) X chromosome inactivation skewing; C) X chromosome inactivation escape; D) mosaic loss of chromosome Y. (made with BioRender)

Methods

Data base search and study selection

To assess the role of sex chromosomes in CVD, a systematic search was performed in PubMed on September 4, 2024. The following Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms were used: 'Cardiovascular Diseases', 'Sex Chromosomes', 'Y Chromosome', 'X Chromosome', 'Chromosomes, Human, Y' and 'Chromosomes, Human, X' and variations thereof were used (**Supplementary Methods**). Additionally, the MeSH term 'Sex Chromosome Disorders of Sex Development' was used in combination with the Boolean operator "NOT" to exclude records dealing with congenital disorders where sexual development is atypical due to abnormal sex chromosome constitutions. The search was limited to English-language records.

Subsequently, duplicates were removed and titles and abstracts of all records were screened for relevance by one researcher (P.J.G.), using the online tool Rayyan. Records were considered irrelevant if they: 1) were no original research article (e.g. reviews, comments, letters, editorials, conference abstracts); 2) had no link with the role of sex chromosomes or CVD or the association between the two; 3) focused on inherited diseases/abnormalities or a single gene/gene mutation.

A further selection was made based on study type; experimental (animal/cell) studies were excluded, and only clinical/population studies were included. To obtain additional relevant records, we screened bibliographies of included records, performed a citation search and subjected obtained records to the aforementioned criteria. After that, the selection was refined after reviewing the full texts and applying the same inclusion and exclusion criteria. In cases of doubt or disagreements, consensus was reached through discussion between two researchers (P.J.G. and A.S.). Finally, the records were classified into groups based on their focus: 1) LOX; 2) XCI skewing; 3) XCI escape; 4) X chromosome variation; 5) LOY; 6) Y chromosome variation.

Data extraction

Data were extracted by one researcher (P.J.G.). From each article, we extracted bibliographical information (e.g. title, year of publication, journal, authors, DOI).

Next, we extracted the study population characteristics (e.g. age, ethnicity, health or disease status, and sample size by sex). Additionally, the source of the population, whether from biobanks, hospitals, or population cohorts, was extracted.

Lastly, we extracted information on methodology related to the sex chromosomal abnormalities, including LOX, XCI skewing, XCI escape, X chromosome variation, LOY, and Y chromosome variation. For each abnormality, we documented its definition, detection techniques, and control usage in the study. Additionally, we collected data on follow-up time (if applicable) and the main findings regarding the association between sex chromosomes and CVD.

Results

Data base search and study selection

The initial PubMed search resulted in 1,447 records, with no duplicates. After screening titles and abstracts, we included 30 records (**Figure 2**). In addition to the initial search, three relevant studies were identified through the citation search, leading to the identification of 33 population studies (**Figure 2**). A total of 33 studies were assessed for eligibility based on the full text. Of these, four studies were excluded. Consequently, 29 relevant population studies were included in this systematic review (**Figure 2**).

These studies were categorized into groups based on their focus: one focused on LOX, three studies focused on XCI skewing, one on X chromosome variation, seven studies on LOY, and 17 studies focused on Y chromosome variation (**Figure 2**). Extraction tables summarizing these studies are provided in the supplementary information (**Supplementary Results Tables S1-S5**).

Figure 2. PRISMA flow diagram.

CVD = cardiovascular disease; LOX = loss of chromosome X; XCI = X chromosome inactivation; LOY = loss of chromosome Y

Sex chromosomes and cardiovascular disease

The X chromosome and cardiovascular disease

We selected five studies on the X chromosome and CVD. One study focuses on LOX (5), while three studies examine XCI skewing (11–13). Additionally, there is one study investigating X chromosome variation (14).

Loss of chromosome X

LOX has been linked to several oncological conditions, but its influence on CVD is less clear. Only one study fit our inclusion criteria (5).

Methodology

Liu et al. (2024) used blood samples to identify mLOX in females by analyzing leukocytes through SNP arrays. mLOX was detected using the Mosaic Chromosomal Alterations (MoChA) pipeline, which quantifies mLOX by analyzing the imbalance of the B allele frequency (BAF) and Log R Ratio (LRR) on the X chromosome. BAF measures the relative intensity of the B allele to indicate chromosomal abnormalities, while LRR reflects the total intensity of both alleles to assess chromosomal imbalance. Subsequently, the cell fraction of mLOX in leukocytes was calculated, reflecting the proportion of cells affected by mLOX (5).

Associations with cardiovascular disease

The study analyzed data from female participants across eight biobanks, which included both patient and general population biobanks. It revealed that approximately 12% of participants exhibited detectable mLOX, with a median fraction of affected leukocytes around 2%. A meta-analysis found no significant association between mLOX and a wide range of circulatory diseases. Although mLOX was associated with hematological abnormalities—such as increased leukemia risk and changes in blood cell counts, including higher lymphocyte and lower neutrophil counts—no statistically significant connection to CVD was identified (5).

X chromosome inactivation skewing

Three studies examined the link between XCI skewing and CVD, focusing on vascular complications in essential thrombocythemia (ET) (11), atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk in the general population (13), and the risk of peripheral artery events after carotid endarterectomy (CEA) in advanced atherosclerotic plaques (12).

Methodology

XCI skewing was measured in peripheral blood in all three studies (11–13). Additionally, Buono et al. (2023) also examined skewing in atherosclerotic lesions from carotid arteries (12).

All three studies employed the Human Androgen Receptor Assay (HUMARA) to measure XCI skewing, a well-established PCR-based method that amplifies a polymorphic region of the androgen receptor gene on the X chromosome (11–13). The ratio of expressed alleles in the amplified DNA reveals the proportion of cells with either active X chromosome, enabling determination of the degree of skewing.

However, the studies applied different criteria for defining and categorizing XCI skewing. Shih et al. (2002) classified XCI patterns (XCIP) by analyzing granulocytes and T cells (11). "Clonal XCIP" is an example of XCI skewing, where the skewing is pronounced enough to categorize the cell population as clonal. Clonal XCIP was defined as having over 50% clonal granulocytes and a Relative Gene Expression (RG) value below 0.33, indicating that one X chromosome is predominantly inactivated across a significant portion of cells. Additionally, a T Cell Allele Ratio (RT) of 1.0, where T cells express only one allele, further supported clonal expansion. "Polyclonal XCIP" included cases with less than 50% clonal granulocytes and RG values above 0.33, reflecting a more balanced X chromosome expression and a heterogeneous cell population (11).

Roberts et al. (2022) defined XCI skewing as over 75% inactivation of one X chromosome and extreme skewing as over 91% (13). They categorized XCI skew based on the distribution of absolute values from normalized XCI percentage data: values within 1 SD from the mean were classified as random XCI, between 1 and 2 SDs as skewed XCI, and over 2 SDs as extreme skewing (13).

Buono et al. categorized XCI skewing in atherosclerotic plaques using both dichotomous and binned approaches. The dichotomous variable for skewed plaques was determined using the Ordered Quantile Normalization technique, with a cut-off set at greater than 63.9% inactivation of one X chromosome. The binned categories were defined as follows: less than 60% (non-skewed), 60-70% (lowly skewed), 70-80% (moderately skewed), and over 80% (highly skewed) (12).

Associations with cardiovascular disease

In the first study, Shih et al. examined female ET patients at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, China. They found that 68% exhibited clonal XCIPs, while 19% had polyclonal patterns. Clonal XCIPs were associated with a higher risk of thrombosis and correlated with increasing age, but did not significantly affect hemorrhage occurrence, platelet counts or other hematological features (11).

In the second study, Roberts et al. examined the relationship between XCI skewing and ASCVD risk using data from the TwinsUK cohort, which included female monozygotic twins, dizygotic twins, and singletons. Among the females for whom the ASCVD risk score was determined, 68% had random XCI, 25% had skewed XCI, and 7% had extreme skewed XCI. XCI skewing significantly associated with an increased ASCVD risk score, estimating 10-year CVD risk based on traditional cardiovascular risk factors. To rule out age confounding, the researchers analyzed age-matched monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs discordant for XCI skew status, confirming the link between higher XCI skew and elevated ASCVD risk. They also found that XCI skew remained stable over a 15-17 year follow-up. Additionally, XCI skewing correlated with increased monocyte abundance, independent of other biological aging markers, highlighting the role of monocytes/macrophages in CVD inflammation (13).

In the third study, Buono et al. investigated the relationship between XCI skewing in advanced atherosclerotic plaques and CVD using data from the Athero-Express biobank. Analysis of plaques and blood samples from female patients undergoing CEA showed XCI skewing in 49% of the plaques and 67% of the blood samples. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors were not linked to plaque skewing. However, plaques with XCI skewing were significantly associated with plaque hemorrhage and had a strong correlation with peripheral artery events over a 3-year follow-up. In contrast, skewed plaques did not correlate with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) (12).

The three studies illustrate that XCI skewing influences CVD risk in females, with varying prevalence across different tissues and contexts. Shih et al. found clonal XCI skewing in 68% of female ET patients, associated with a higher risk of thrombosis, but notably, it showed no effects on blood characteristics such as platelet counts (11). In contrast, Roberts et al. found that XCI skewing in 36% of females was linked to increased ASCVD risk but also to higher monocyte counts, suggesting a role in inflammation (13). Buono et al. observed XCI skewing in 49% of atherosclerotic plaques and 67% of blood samples from females post-CEA, associated with plaque hemorrhage and peripheral artery events (12). Despite differing skew definitions and CVD contexts, all studies highlight a substantial prevalence of XCI skewing, underscoring its role in cardiovascular health for females.

X chromosome variation

One study by Ciccarelli et al. (2017) examined the influence of maternal and paternal familiarity on hypertension, highlighting the potential implications of X chromosome variability on hypertensive phenotypes (14).

Methodology

DNA was extracted from blood samples of 1,200 participants and genotyped using a SNP array to identify variants associated with hypertension. Associations with hypertension were then assessed across additive, dominant, and recessive genetic models (14).

Associations with cardiovascular disease

The study analyzed data from the Campania Salute Network at Federico II University Hospital in Naples, Italy, involving both hypertensive and normotensive individuals. Results showed that 75% of hypertensive patients had a family history, versus 26% of normotensives, with family history increasing risk by 2.91 times. Maternal history had a stronger association with hypertension than paternal history. Additionally, specific X chromosome regions were linked to hypertension in males with maternal history, suggesting a role for X-linked variants in maternal transmission (14).

The Y chromosome and cardiovascular disease

We have selected a total of 24 studies focusing on the role of the Y chromosome in CVD. This includes 7 studies examining the role of LOY in CVD (15–21) and 17 studies exploring various Y chromosome variations (22,23,32–38,24–31).

Loss of chromosome Y

We included seven studies about the relationship between LOY and various cardiovascular outcomes, including atherosclerosis (17), abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) (18), aortic valve stenosis (19), ischemic stroke (21), and heart failure (20). Additionally, some studies addressed CVD in general and mortality (15,16,20).

Methodology

In all studies, LOY was measured in peripheral blood samples (15–21), with some also examining nonblood tissues like atherosclerotic plaques(17) and AAA tissue (18). Measuring techniques included SNP arrays (15–17,21), digital PCR (19,20), and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (18).

The most common method, SNP genotyping, used arrays like Affymetrix UK BiLEVE (15), UK Biobank Axiom (15), and Illumina Infinium (21) to detect LOY by analyzing the median log R ratio (mLRR) of Ychromosomal SNPs. Digital PCR, used in two studies, determined the Y/X ratio using a TaqMan-based approach targeting the homologous AMELX and AMELY genes (19,20). FISH was used in one study to quantify LOY by calculating the percentage of cells with Y chromosome loss in T-lymphocytes and AAA tissue (18).

LOY was defined as either a continuous percentage of cell count, a dichotomous variable with a cut-off, or both across studies. Two studies quantified LOY continuously as a percentage of total cell count (2, 4). In six studies, LOY was dichotomized, applying a cut-off to determine if Y chromosome loss occurred in a significant proportion of cells (15–17,19–21).

Different cell proportion cut-offs were used in the dichotomous analyses to define the presence of LOY (**Table 1**). Several studies set a cut-off of mLRR < -0.15 for LOY in peripheral blood, corresponding to approximately 10% LOY cell proportion (16,21). One study applied a stricter threshold of mLRR < -0.40, indicating around 24% LOY cell proportion (16). Another study established a cut-off of mLRR < -0.075 based on blood mLRR distribution (17). For atherosclerotic plaques, a cut-off of mLRR < -0.129 was used (17). In two studies, LOY cut-offs were determined using the Youden index from receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses, identifying >17% LOY cell proportion as optimal (19,20). Some cut-offs were based on previous studies (15,16,21), while others were newly adapted based on the findings within the research (17,19,20).

Table 1. Dichotomous LOY cell proportion cut-offs.

LOY = loss of chromosome Y; mLRR = median log R ratio; NA = not available; ROC = receiver operating characteristic

Associations with cardiovascular disease

Haitjema et al. (2017) investigated LOY in blood and excised atherosclerotic plaques of males undergoing CEA due to severe atherosclerosis to assess its relationship with plaque characteristics and cardiovascular outcomes. Among patients in the Athero-Express Biobank, 16.7% exhibited LOY in blood, while only 3% showed LOY in atherosclerotic plaques. Although LOY in blood appeared to be associated with larger plaque size, this correlation did not hold after multiple testing correction. Notably, LOY in blood was independently linked to an increased risk of MACE during a three-year follow-up, suggesting a role in CVD progression independent of age and other risk factors. Importantly, no significant associations were found between LOY in blood and inflammatory plaque phenotypes or systemic inflammatory markers, indicating that LOY's impact may occur through non-inflammatory mechanisms (17).

Loftfield et al. (2018) investigated mLOY in blood within a large general population cohort from the UK Biobank. They found that mLOY increased exponentially with age and was strongly associated with smoking. Notably, males of African descent exhibited lower rates of mLOY (0.4%) compared to European males (1.8%). Additionally, mLOY was linked to diabetes and CVDs, as well as an increased risk of all-cause mortality (mLRR < -0.40) (16).

Tang et al. (2019) studied male patients with AAA from the China Medical University aneurysm Biobank and aneurysm blood Biobank. They measured LOY in peripheral T lymphocytes and AAA tissue, investigating its association with age and AAA development. Results indicated that LOY cell proportion was significantly higher in peripheral T lymphocytes of the AAA group (9.11%) compared to healthy controls (5.56%) and the matched control group of aortic occlusive disease patients (6.42%). LOY was also significantly elevated in AAA tissue and positively correlated with age. These findings suggest that LOY is a systemic phenomenon in both blood and affected tissue, potentially playing a role in AAA progression (18).

In another study, Lin et al. (2020) investigated mLOY in blood in a general male population cohort from the UK Biobank to assess its relationship with blood cell counts. Among the participants, 19.3% exhibited mLOY, which was associated with variations in blood cell counts independent of age and smoking. Specifically, mLOY correlated with a decrease in erythrocytes and increases in platelets and leukocytes, particularly neutrophils and monocytes (15).

Mas-Peiro et al. (2023) examined the correlation between mLOY in blood and survival rates following transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) in males with advanced aortic stenosis. Among the males who successfully underwent TAVR, 29.6% exhibited mLOY in >17% of their cell count. The study found that three-year all-cause mortality increased with mLOY, identifying it as a significant independent predictor of mortality during follow-up. Additionally, single-cell RNA sequencing of circulating monocytic blood cells from seven patients revealed a pro-fibrotic gene profile in monocytes lacking the Y chromosome, suggesting that cardiac fibrosis may significantly contribute to the negative impact of mLOY on survival after TAVR (19).

Dorvall et al. (2023) investigated the association between mLOY in blood and functional outcomes following ischemic stroke in two male patient cohorts: the Sahlgrenska Academy Study on Ischemic Stroke Phase 2 and the Lund Stroke Register. Functional status was assessed three months post-stroke using the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS). The results indicated that males with mLOY had a higher risk of poor functional outcomes, including dependency or death, especially among those who did not receive recanalization therapy. In this group, the association remained significant after adjusting for age, stroke severity, and diabetes (21).

Weyrich et al. (2024) explored the relationship between mLOY in blood and all-cause mortality and cardiovascular outcomes in chronic kidney disease patients from two cohorts: CARE for HOMe with stable chronic kidney disease patients and the 4D study with hemodialysis patients. mLOY in >17% of cells was associated with higher mortality and heart failure risk, though not with atherosclerotic events. Patients with elevated mLOY also exhibited reduced ejection fraction and an increased E/E' ratio, suggesting poorer cardiac function within five years. Enhanced expression of C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2) in monocytes and higher plasma C-C motif ligand 2 (CCL2) levels were observed, potentially contributing to the increased risk of heart failure (20).

The studies collectively indicate that LOY is linked to higher cardiovascular risk and worse outcomes, regardless of the cutoffs used (15–21). The findings generally agree that LOY prevalence rises with age and is influenced by environmental factors like smoking (16,18). Furthermore, LOY's effects are observed both systemically in blood and locally in affected tissues, including aneurysms and, to a lesser extent, atherosclerotic plaques (17,18). However, the studies diverge on the specific CVDs investigated and the mechanisms at play: for instance, Haitjema et al. found no inflammatory association with LOY in atherosclerosis patients (17), while Weyrich et al. identified inflammatory markers like CCR2 and CCL2 as contributors to heart failure risk in kidney disease patients (20).

The prevalence of LOY varied among the different populations and tissues (**Table 2**). In the general population, Loftfield et al. reported LOY in 1.8% of European males and 0.4% of males of African descent within a large UK Biobank cohort (16). In another general population study, Lin et al. found LOY in 19.3% of participants, with 20% in white individuals and 5.8% in Black individuals (15). Tang et al. reported that LOY was more prevalent among cardiovascular patients, observing rates of 9.11% in males with abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) compared to 5.56% in healthy controls (18). Among ischemic stroke patients, 15.6% exhibited LOY (21), while 11.1% of individuals with chronic kidney disease displayed LOY (20). Additionally, 29.6% of patients who underwent TAVR showed LOY (19). In patients with advanced atherosclerosis, 16.7% had LOY in their blood, whereas only 3% of atherosclerotic plaques exhibited LOY (17). Overall, these findings suggest that LOY is more prevalent in individuals with CVDs compared to the general population, as reported by Loftfield et al. (16).

Variations in LOY prevalence may arise from cut-off values, the specific tissues measured, ethnicity, age, disease type and severity, and environmental influences like smoking.

Table 2. LOY prevalence in different populations and tissues.

LOY = loss of chromosome Y; CEA = carotid endarterectomy; AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm; TAVR = transcatheter aortic valve replacement

Y chromosome variation

Seventeen studies examined the role of Y chromosome variation in CVD. While several identified associations between specific haplogroups—such as P, K, R, YAP (also known as DE), and I—and CVD (22,23,26,27,29–31,35,36,38), others reported no significant link between Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD (24,25,28,32–34,37). One study focused on a specific Y chromosome haplotype and its association with CVD (26).

Methodology

The studies investigating Y chromosome haplogroups included DNA extraction, genotyping, and haplogroup classification. All studies extracted DNA from blood samples (22-31), with some also using tissue samples from CAD-relevant sites (including subcutaneous adipose tissue, visceral adipose tissue, aorta, coronary artery, tibial artery, atrial appendage tissue, and left ventricle tissue), atherosclerotic plaques or aneurysms (33,35). Genotyping techniques typically involved PCR-RFLP for HindIII polymorphism analysis and SNP genotyping arrays to detect other specific Y chromosome markers (26,27,29–31,33,35–38).

SNP genotyping and haplogroup classification were performed using software such as yHaplo, following phylogenetic frameworks from the Y Chromosome Consortium (YCC) (**Supplementary Figure S1**) and the International Society of Genetic Genealogy (ISOGG) (27–35,37). Some studies utilized advanced techniques like next-generation sequencing to investigate chromatin states and gene expression, employing resources such as ENCODE and GTEx (35). The primary haplogroups analyzed included E, G, I, J, and R, representing major Y chromosome lineages in European populations (29,34).

Associations with cardiovascular disease

Early studies examining Y chromosome variation have primarily focused on the HindIII polymorphism's impact on blood pressure (BP) and its implications for CVD risk (22–25,28). The HindIII(-) genotype corresponds to Y chromosome haplogroup P (10). Ellis et al. (2000) found that HindIII(+) was significantly associated with lower diastolic BP in white males but did not link it to systolic BP (14) (22). In contrast, Charchar et al. (2002) reported that HindIII(+) correlated with higher systolic and diastolic BP in Polish and Scottish males, indicating a potential association with elevated BP (23). Rodríguez et al. (2005), analyzing a UK cohort, and Russo et al. (2006), studying populations from Italy, the UK, and Belgium, both found no significant associations between HindIII polymorphisms and BP (24,25). Similarly, Kostrzewa et al. (2013) reported no link between HindIII and BP in a Polish population (28). In summary, while Ellis et al. and Charchar et al. found contrasting associations with BP (22,23), other studies did not confirm these links $(24,25,28)$. The prevalence of HindIII(+/-) varied across ethnic groups, indicating that HindIII's impact on BP might differ by geographic and ethnic factors.

Several later studies have linked Y chromosome haplogroups K, R, YAP, and I to CVD (26,27,29– 31,35,36,38). Notably, the TBL1Y(A) USP9Y(A) haplotype in males of African descent is associated with better lipid profiles—lower triglycerides and higher HDL cholesterol—contributing to a reduced risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) (26).

A study of Greek Cypriot males found that carriers of the YAP haplogroup had approximately a 50% reduced risk of plaques in the femoral bifurcation. In contrast, haplogroup K and its sub-haplogroup R were linked to a 2.5-fold increased risk of atherosclerotic plaques in arterial bifurcations (31). Further investigation of haplogroup R in Spanish males under 55 years old with premature ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) revealed an association with CVD. The study found that haplogroup R, particularly sub-haplogroup R1b, was more prevalent in these STEMI patients compared to controls (38). Additionally, a study comparing males with Chagas disease to controls assessed the R1b haplogroup and found a potential protective cardiovascular effect. Patients lacking the R1b haplogroup were nearly five times more likely to have a cardio-thorax index greater than 0.5% and 2.5 times more likely to show echocardiographic alterations, indicating that R1b may offer protection in Chagas cardiomyopathy (36).

Furthermore, haplogroup I has been associated with CVD, particularly coronary artery disease (CAD), in several studies (27,29,30,35). One study of British males found that carriers of haplogroup I faced approximately a 50% increased risk of CAD, independent of traditional cardiovascular and socioeconomic factors (27). Furthermore, research involving white European cohorts indicated that haplogroup I is linked to the downregulation of the UTY and PRKY genes, potentially contributing to the increased CAD risk observed in these individuals (29). In a Polish cohort, Bloomer et al. (2014) investigated potential explanations for the link between haplogroup I and CAD, concluding that sex steroids or early-life aggression are unlikely causes, suggesting other underlying mechanisms (30). A comprehensive analysis of males from the UK Biobank and STAGE study linked haplogroup I1 to an 11% increased CAD risk. The study identified I1-specific variants enriched in regulatory chromatin states, affecting gene regulation in atherosclerosis-related tissues. Additionally, haplogroup I1 was shown to influence key atherosclerosis pathways, including immune response, mitochondrial function, lipid metabolism, coagulation, and extracellular matrix remodeling (35).

While some studies suggest links between Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD, others report no associations (28,32–34,37). For instance, Kostrzewa et al. (2013) found that Y chromosome genetic variation likely does not influence cardiovascular risk in a Polish cohort (28). Another study indicated that Y haplogroups do not influence cardiometabolic risk or cardiovascular measures in childhood and adolescence (34). In a study by de Haan et al. (2016) on venous thrombosis (VT) in northwest European males, six major Y haplogroups—R1b, I, R1a, J, E, and G—were analyzed. Although haplogroup E showed a slight, non-significant trend towards increased recurrent VT risk, no haplogroups were associated with first or recurrent VT (32). Haitjema et al. (2017) found no association between Y chromosome haplogroups and vascular disease in Dutch males undergoing vascular surgery, primarily from haplogroups I and R. Histological analyses showed no significant differences in vessel wall or aneurysmal tissue characteristics, indicating these haplogroups do not affect atherosclerosis or aneurysm development (33). This contrasts with earlier studies linking haplogroup I to atherosclerosis

pathways and haplogroup R to increased plaque formation (31,35). Recent research by Timmers et al. (2022) using UK Biobank data confirmed that there are no significant associations between Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD risk, CAD, or all-cause mortality among white British males (37).

Together, these studies highlight the complex role of Y chromosome variation in CVD. Some identify specific haplogroups as potential risk factors, while others suggest these haplogroups do not consistently affect CVD across populations.

Discussion

From an initial search of 1,447 PubMed records and three records identified through citation search, only 29 relevant studies on the impact of sex chromosomes on CVD were included in this systematic review. These studies covered LOX in one study, XCI skewing in three studies, X chromosome variation in one study, LOY in seven studies, and Y chromosome variations in 17 studies.

Sex chromosomes and cardiovascular disease

Loss of chromosome X

No significant associations were found between mLOX and circulatory diseases based on a single study (5). While mLOX was linked to hematological issues such as increased leukemia risk and altered blood cell counts, no connection to CVD was identified. Although mLOX and mLOY show a moderate genomewide correlation, their overlapping variants are few, suggesting distinct biological processes in their loss (5). Shared variants are associated with cancer susceptibility and blood cell traits, but mLOX effects are weaker than those of mLOY (5). mLOX-specific variants are associated with immune responses and genes linked to mitotic missegregation (5). Limitations include the exclusive focus on leukocyte mLOX and a study population primarily of European and Asian descent, which restricts generalizability. The fact that only one study has investigated the relationship between LOX and CVD, finding no significant association, suggests that either LOX may not play a role in CVD, or that insufficient research has been conducted to draw conclusions. Further research is needed to determine LOX's role in CVD across different tissues.

X chromosome inactivation skewing

Three out of 29 eligible studies indicate that XCI skewing is associated with CVD risk in females. Clonal XCIPs increased thrombosis risk in ET patients without impacting other hematological features (11). In the general population, higher XCI skewing correlated with elevated ASCVD risk and increased monocyte counts (13). Additionally, XCI skewing in atherosclerotic plaques was linked to plaque hemorrhage and peripheral artery events post-CEA, though not with MACE (12). These findings underscore the significance of XCI skewing for cardiovascular health in females.

However, several limitations must be acknowledged. Small sample sizes may limit the generalizability of the findings. For instance, Roberts et al. assessed ASCVD risk in only 14% of 1,575 individuals, with just 32% of those showing skewing (13). Shih et al. and Buono et al. focused on smaller, patient populations that may not represent broader demographics (11,12). These studies reported higher XCI skewing percentages than Roberts et al., potentially due to difference in XCI skewing definitions, or it may suggest that XCI skewing is more prevalent in groups with ET and advanced atherosclerosis. Additionally, the absence of healthy control tissues in Shih et al. and Buono et al. is a significant limitation for establishing associations with CVD (11,12).

The observational nature of these studies restricts the ability to establish causality between XCI skewing and CVD outcomes, limiting findings to correlations (11–13). This is evident in Buono et al.'s study, where skewing correlated with plaque hemorrhage and peripheral events but did not align with traditional cardiovascular risk factors, raising questions about the mechanisms linking XCI patterns to vascular pathology (12). Similarly, clonal XCIP has been associated with thrombosis without affecting platelet counts or other hematological features, suggesting that the link between skewing and thrombosis may involve other mechanisms (11).

Future research should explore how XCI skewing affects different types of CVD across various tissues. One hypothesis is that XCI skewing may influence symptoms in carriers of recessive X-linked disorders (39). Additionally, translating the biological significance of XCI skewing into clinical applications is essential for improving risk assessment and treatment strategies.

X chromosome variation

One study examined the relationship between hypertension and X chromosome variability, finding that a family history of hypertension significantly increased risk, particularly through maternal lineage (14). Specific X chromosome regions were linked to hypertension in males with a maternal history, suggesting that X-linked variants play a key role in the maternal transmission of hypertensive phenotypes (14).

However, the small sample size for genetic evaluations limits statistical power. Additionally, focusing on only two X chromosome regions without examining specific hypertension-associated genes restricts understanding of underlying genetic mechanisms and may overlook other relevant variations (14).

Future research should explore X chromosome variations in other CVDs and their interactions with environmental factors. While the study of Ciccarelli et al. suggests a link between X chromosome regions and maternal hypertension, it lacks detailed insights into genetic variation patterns, underscoring the need for further investigation (14).

Loss of chromosome Y

Seven studies link LOY to increased CVD risks and adverse outcomes. In the general population, mLOY is associated with diabetes, CVDs, and all-cause mortality, along with reduced erythrocytes and increased platelets and leukocytes counts (15,16). In patients with atherosclerosis, LOY in blood correlates with a higher risk of MACE, but not with inflammation (17). Patients with AAA show a higher frequency of LOY in T lymphocytes compared to controls (18). In those with aortic stenosis post-TAVR, mLOY is linked to increased all-cause mortality and a pro-fibrotic gene profile (19). Furthermore, mLOY predicts worse outcomes in ischemic stroke, particularly without recanalization therapy. In chronic kidney disease patients mLOY is associated with higher mortality and heart failure risk, likely through inflammatory pathways (20). Collectively, these findings suggest that LOY worsens various CVDs.

A significant limitation of these studies is the small sample sizes, particularly in non-blood tissue research. For instance, the prevalence of LOY in atherosclerotic plaques is only 3%, much lower than in blood samples. This low occurrence limits the availability of plaques with LOY for assessing its role in plaque formation (17). Similarly, the limited sample size in the AAA study restricted insights into LOY's contribution to disease progression (18). While LOY is primarily studied in blood, comparable levels in AAA blood T lymphocytes and tissue suggest its potential relevance in other tissues (18).

Measurement methods for LOY varied across studies, with some using continuous cell counts and others applying different dichotomous cut-offs, complicating comparisons and contributing to variability in LOY prevalence rates. Additionally, missing data on pre-existing conditions and the absence of healthy tissue comparisons may further affect outcomes (18,21). The generalizability is limited, as many studies primarily involved individuals of European descent, with some not reporting ethnicity. Moreover, the observational nature of these studies hinders causal conclusions about whether LOY contributes to disease progression or results from underlying conditions. For example, LOY is strongly associated with increasing age, making it challenging to disentangle whether LOY directly contributes to CVD or if both are simply correlated with aging. This complicates the interpretation of LOY as an independent risk factor for CVD. Furthermore, the lack of mechanistic evidence linking LOY to CVD questions the robustness of this association.

One hypothesis forthe occurrence of LOY suggests that chromosomal segregation errors during mitosis cause aneuploidy (7). Kinetochores form on centromeric chromatin, where centromere protein C (CENP-C) binds to centromere protein A (CENP-A). The lack of centromere protein B (CENP-B) on the Y chromosome may hinder CENP-A recruitment and kinetochore binding. In vitro studies indicate that Y chromosome segregation errors lead to micronuclei that fragment in subsequent cell cycles.

The mechanisms linking LOY to CVD are not well understood. Some studies indicate that LOY in blood cells often co-occurs with clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) (19). Mas-Peiro et al. found that specific CHIP driver mutations are associated with poor outcomes after TAVR, similar to LOY; however, CHIP does not affect LOY-related mortality (19). Another theory suggests that LOY may contribute to fibrosis, with macrophages from Y chromosome-deficient hematopoietic stem cells infiltrating the heart after injury or replacing aging cardiac macrophages (7). These LOY macrophages may activate profibrotic pathways, leading to increased cardiac fibroblast proliferation and extracellular matrix production, ultimately impairing cardiac function.

Future research should clarify LOY's impact on cardiovascular outcomes, particularly regarding the influence of risk factors like smoking and age on the LOY-CVD relationship. Additionally, studies must explore how LOY in blood, aortic aneurysms, and atherosclerotic plaques affects CVD progression, potentially establishing LOY as a biomarker for cardiovascular risk assessment.

Y chromosome variation

The relationship between Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD is complex and contradictory. Haplogroups K, R, YAP, and I are associated with varying CVD risks (26,27,29–31,35,36,38), with haplogroup K and sub-haplogroup R linked to an increased risk of atherosclerotic plaques, while YAP may reduce this risk (31). Sub-haplogroup R1b is prevalent in premature STEMI patients and may heighten the risk of Chagas disease-related cardiomyopathy (36,38). Haplogroup I is associated with CAD (29,35). Conversely, some studies report no significant associations between Y haplogroups and CVD, including general cardiovascular risk, VT, atherosclerotic or aneurysmal characteristics, CAD, and all-cause mortality (28,32–34,37).

The 17 studies on Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD have limitations, including small sample sizes for rare haplogroups and limited ethnic diversity, which affect generalizability (22–26,32,38). However, two UK Biobank studies had relatively large sample sizes; Eales et al. (2019) linked haplogroup I1 to CAD risk but found no associations with other common haplogroups, while Timmers et al. found no significant link between Y chromosome haplogroups and CVD risk, CAD, or all-cause mortality (35,37). Many studies used broad haplogroup classifications, potentially overlooking subgroup-specific effects (32,33,36). Additionally, indirect measures of phenotypes, such as aggression and steroid levels, may not accurately reflect CVD risk (30), and conflicting results hinder consensus. Future research should prioritize larger, more diverse cohorts and explore rarer haplogroups, as well as the biological mechanisms linking them to CVD risk.

Strengths and Limitations

This systematic review provides valuable insights but has limitations. A major strength is its broad search strategy, which aimed to capture a wide range of CVD types. However, limitations include the screening process, conducted by a single researcher, which may have introduced bias. The exclusion of inherited conditions such as Turner and Klinefelter syndromes narrowed the scope and may have missed important insights. Additionally, the review focused on general sex chromosomal variations like haplogroups, without addressing specific single genes or polymorphisms. Studies on XCI escape were also excluded for focusing on specific escape genes. The lack of experimental studies limited the exploration of mechanisms linking sex chromosome abnormalities to CVD. Finally, the diversity and small number of included studies prevented statistical analysis, making the findings largely hypothesisgenerating. Overall, the review highlights the need for more comprehensive research to fully understand how sex chromosomes influence CVD.

Future Research

Further investigation into the impact of sex chromosomes on CVD is warranted, as current studies are limited and primarily establish associations without demonstrating causality. Synthesizing current hypotheses on the mechanisms of sex chromosome abnormalities in CVD, incorporating experimental studies, is essential to strengthen the robustness of the associations and establish causality. Testing these hypotheses in future research could lead to advancements in our understanding of their contribution to CVD. Additionally, research should focus on the clinical implications of sex chromosome abnormalities and variations to enhance CVD risk assessment.

Future efforts should include a comprehensive review of genetic variations on the X and Y chromosomes, including XCI escape genes, which were excluded from this review. This includes examining X-wide association studies (XWAS) and GWAS to identify potential sex chromosome variations that influence CVD.

LOY and XCI skewing emerge as the sex chromosome abnormalities most consistently associated with CVD. In contrast, while certain Y chromosome haplogroups have been linked to CVD, their associations are less consistent, despite being the focus of most studies. Future research should prioritize investigations into LOY and XCI skewing in relation to CVD. Additionally, variations in the X and Y chromosomes warrant more in-depth studies to clarify their potential roles in CVD risk.

Conclusion

This systematic review highlights the significant associations between acquired sex chromosome abnormalities and variations—particularly XCI skewing, LOY, and Y chromosome haplogroups—and CVD risk. XCI skewing correlates with thrombosis in ET, increased ASCVD risk, and adverse events in atherosclerosis. LOY is consistently linked with CVD, all-cause mortality, and other adverse outcomes. Specific Y haplogroups, such as K and R, show associations with heightened atherosclerosis risk, while others, like YAP, appear protective. However, some studies found no significant associations between Y haplogroups and CVD, underscoring the variability in findings. Despite these correlations, causality remains unclear, highlighting the need for research into underlying mechanisms and clinical implications to improve CVD assessment and management.

References

- 1. McClain AK, Monteleone PP, Zoldan J. Sex in cardiovascular disease: Why this biological variable should be considered in in vitro models. Sci Adv. 2024 May 10;10(19):3510.
- 2. Wiese CB, Avetisyan R, Reue K. The impact of chromosomal sex in cardiometabolic health and disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2023 Oct 1;34(10):652.
- 3. MacHiela MJ, Zhou W, Karlins E, Sampson JN, Freedman ND, Yang Q, et al. Female chromosome X mosaicism is age-related and preferentially affects the inactivated X chromosome. Nat Commun. 2016 Jun 13;7:11843.
- 4. Lin SH, Brown DW, Rose B, Day F, Lee OW, Khan SM, et al. Incident disease associations with mosaic chromosomal alterations on autosomes, X and Y chromosomes: insights from a phenome-wide association study in the UK Biobank. Cell Biosci. 2021 Dec 1;11(1):143.
- 5. Liu A, Genovese G, Zhao Y, Pirinen M, Zekavat SM, Kentistou KA, et al. Genetic drivers and cellular selection of female mosaic X chromosome loss. Nature. 2024 Jul 4;631(8019):134–41.
- 6. Sun Z, Fan J, Wang Y. X-Chromosome Inactivation and Related Diseases. Genet Res (Camb). 2022;2022:1391807.
- 7. Gutiérrez-Hurtado IA, Sánchez-Méndez AD, Becerra-Loaiza DS, Rangel-Villalobos H, Torres-Carrillo N, Gallegos-Arreola MP, et al. Loss of the Y Chromosome: A Review of Molecular Mechanisms, Age Inference, and Implications for Men's Health. Int J Mol Sci. 2024 Apr 11;25(8):4230.
- 8. Small AM, Libby P. Why Y? The Y chromosome may serve a cardiovascular purpose after all. Eur Heart J. 2023 Jun 1;44(21):1953.
- 9. International Society of Genetic Genealogy. ISOGG 2019 Y-DNA Haplogroup Tree [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2024 Oct 14]. Available from: https://isogg.org/tree/
- 10. Hammer M. A Nomenclature System for the Tree of Human Y-Chromosomal Binary Haplogroups. Genome Res. 2002 Feb 1;12(2):339–48.
- 11. Shih LY, Lin TL, Lai CL, Dunn P, Wu JH, Wang PN, et al. Predictive values of X-chromosome inactivation patterns and clinicohematologic parameters for vascular complications in female patients with essential thrombocythemia. Blood. 2002 Sep 1;100(5):1596–601.
- 12. Buono MF, Benavente ED, Daniels M, Mol BM, Mekke JM, de Borst GJ, et al. X chromosome inactivation skewing is common in advanced carotid atherosclerotic lesions in females and predicts secondary peripheral artery events. Biol Sex Differ. 2023 Dec 1;14(1).
- 13. Roberts AL, Morea A, Amar A, Zito A, Moustafa JSES, Tomlinson M, et al. Age acquired skewed X chromosome inactivation is associated with adverse health outcomes in humans. Elife. 2022 Nov 1;11.
- 14. Ciccarelli M, Finelli R, Rivera N, Santulli G, Izzo R, De Luca N, et al. The possible role of chromosome X variability in hypertensive familiarity. J Hum Hypertens. 2017 Jan 1;31(1):37– 42.
- 15. Lin SH, Loftfield E, Sampson JN, Zhou W, Yeager M, Freedman ND, et al. Mosaic chromosome Y loss is associated with alterations in blood cell counts in UK Biobank men. Sci Rep. 2020 Dec 1;10(1).
- 16. Loftfield E, Zhou W, Graubard BI, Yeager M, Chanock SJ, Freedman ND, et al. Predictors of

mosaic chromosome Y loss and associations with mortality in the UK Biobank. Sci Rep. 2018 Dec 1;8(1).

- 17. Haitjema S, Kofink D, Van Setten J, Van Der Laan SW, Schoneveld AH, Eales J, et al. Loss of Y Chromosome in Blood Is Associated With Major Cardiovascular Events During Follow-Up in Men After Carotid Endarterectomy. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017 Aug 1;10(4).
- 18. Tang D, Han Y, Lun Y, Jiang H, Xin S, Duan Z, et al. Y chromosome loss is associated with agerelated male patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. Clin Interv Aging. 2019;14:1227–41.
- 19. Mas-Peiro S, Abplanalp WT, Rasper T, Berkowitsch A, Leistner DM, Dimmeler S, et al. Mosaic loss of Y chromosome in monocytes is associated with lower survival after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Eur Heart J. 2023 Jun 1;44(21):1943–52.
- 20. Weyrich M, Cremer S, Gerster M, Sarakpi T, Rasper T, Zewinger S, et al. Loss of Y Chromosome and Cardiovascular Events in Chronic Kidney Disease. Circulation. 2024 Sep 3;150(10):746–57.
- 21. Dorvall M, Pedersen A, Dumanski JP, Söderholm M, Lindgren AG, Stanne TM, et al. Mosaic Loss of Chromosome Y Is Associated With Functional Outcome After Ischemic Stroke. Stroke. 2023 Sep 1;54(9):2434–7.
- 22. Ellis JA, Stebbing M, Harrap SB. Association of the human Y chromosome with high blood pressure in the general population. Hypertens (Dallas, Tex 1979). 2000;36(5):731–3.
- 23. Charchar FJ, Tomaszewski M, Padmanabhan S, Lacka B, Upton MN, Inglis GC, et al. The Y chromosome effect on blood pressure in two European populations. Hypertens (Dallas, Tex 1979). 2002;39(2 Pt 2):353–6.
- 24. Rodríguez S, Chen XH, Miller GJ, Day INM. Non-recombining chromosome Y haplogroups and centromeric HindIII RFLP in relation to blood pressure in 2,743 middle-aged Caucasian men from the UK. Hum Genet. 2005 Mar;116(4):311–8.
- 25. Russo P, Venezia A, Lauria F, Strazzullo P, Cappuccio FP, Iacoviello L, et al. HindIII(+/-) polymorphism of the Y chromosome, blood pressure, and serum lipids: no evidence of association in three white populations. Am J Hypertens. 2006 Apr;19(4):331–8.
- 26. Russo P, Siani A, Miller MA, Karanam S, Esposito T, Gianfrancesco F, et al. Genetic variants of Y chromosome are associated with a protective lipid profile in black men. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2008 Aug;28(8):1569–74.
- 27. Charchar FJ, Bloomer LDS, Barnes TA, Cowley MJ, Nelson CP, Wang Y, et al. Inheritance of coronary artery disease in men: an analysis of the role of the Y chromosome. Lancet (London, England). 2012;379(9819):915–22.
- 28. Kostrzewa G, Broda G, Konarzewska M, Krajewki P, Płoski R. Genetic polymorphism of human Y chromosome and risk factors for cardiovascular diseases: a study in WOBASZ cohort. PLoS One. 2013 Jul 25;8(7).
- 29. Bloomer LDS, Nelson CP, Eales J, Denniff M, Christofidou P, Debiec R, et al. Male-specific region of the Y chromosome and cardiovascular risk: phylogenetic analysis and gene expression studies. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013 Jul;33(7):1722–7.
- 30. Bloomer LDS, Nelson CP, Denniff M, Christofidou P, Debiec R, Thompson J, et al. Coronary artery disease predisposing haplogroup I of the Y chromosome, aggression and sex steroids- genetic association analysis. Atherosclerosis. 2014 Mar;233(1):160–4.
- 31. Voskarides K, Hadjipanagi D, Papazachariou L, Griffin M, Panayiotou AG. Evidence for contribution of the y chromosome in atherosclerotic plaque occurrence in men. Genet Test

Mol Biomarkers. 2014 Aug 1;18(8):552–6.

- 32. de Haan HG, van Hylckama Vlieg A, van der Gaag KJ, de Knijff P, Rosendaal FR. Male-specific risk of first and recurrent venous thrombosis: a phylogenetic analysis of the Y chromosome. J Thromb Haemost. 2016 Oct 1;14(10):1971–7.
- 33. Haitjema S, van Setten J, Eales J, van der Laan SW, Gandin I, de Vries JPPM, et al. Genetic variation within the Y chromosome is not associated with histological characteristics of the atherosclerotic carotid artery or aneurysmal wall. Atherosclerosis. 2017 Apr 1;259:114–9.
- 34. O'Keeffe LM, Howe LD, Fraser A, Hughes AD, Wade KH, Anderson EL, et al. Associations of Y chromosomal haplogroups with cardiometabolic risk factors and subclinical vascular measures in males during childhood and adolescence. Atherosclerosis. 2018 Jul 1;274:94–103.
- 35. Eales JM, Maan AA, Xu X, Michoel T, Hallast P, Batini C, et al. Human Y Chromosome Exerts Pleiotropic Effects on Susceptibility to Atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2019 Nov 1;39(11):2386–401.
- 36. Lassen O, Tabares S, Bertolotto P, Ojeda S, Sembaj A. Preliminary study between Y chromosome haplogroups and chagasic cardiomyopathy manifestations in patients with Chagas disease. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2020;53:e20190566.
- 37. Timmers PRHJ, Wilson JF. Limited Effect of Y Chromosome Variation on Coronary Artery Disease and Mortality in UK Biobank-Brief Report. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2022 Sep 1;42(9):1198–206.
- 38. Lorca R, Aparicio A, Salgado M, Álvarez-Velasco R, Pascual I, Gomez J, et al. Chromosome Y Haplogroup R Was Associated with the Risk of Premature Myocardial Infarction with ST-Elevation: Data from the CholeSTEMI Registry. J Clin Med. 2023 Jul 1;12(14).
- 39. Shvetsova E, Sofronova A, Monajemi R, Gagalova K, Draisma HHM, White SJ, et al. Skewed Xinactivation is common in the general female population. Eur J Hum Genet 2018 273. 2018 Dec 14;27(3):455–65.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Methods

Search

("Cardiovascular Diseases" [MeSH] OR "Cardiovascular Disease" OR "CVD" OR "Heart Disease" OR "Vascular Disease") AND ("Sex Chromosomes" [MesH] OR "Y Chromosome" [MeSH] OR "X Chromosome" [MeSH] OR "Chromosomes, Human, Y" [MeSH] OR "Chromosomes, Human, X" [MeSH] OR "Sex Chromosome" OR "Y Chromosome" OR "X Chromosome") NOT "Sex Chromosome Disorders of Sex Development" [MeSH]

Filter

Article language: English

Examples of topics that led to exclusion

Macular degeneration, COVID-19, cancer, immune diseases, infertility, muscular dystrophy, Barth syndrome, Fabry disease, Turner syndrome, Klinefelter syndrome, or studies on prenatal screening methods.

Figure S1. Haplogroup tree of the human Y chromosome (NRY) showing 153 haplogroups and their relationships with previous nomenclatures. (Figure obtained from Hammer et al. (2002) (10)) *Major clades are labeled with capital letters, and haplogroup names along with Y Chromosome Consortium (YCC) sample numbers are indicated at the tips. Internal nodes are marked with an asterisk (*), while mutation names are provided along the branches, which are not scaled to represent mutation frequency or age. Red haplogroup names indicate multiple positions in the phylogeny, and crosshatching in the "Semino" nomenclature highlights unnamed lineages. Prior nomenclatures are attributed to the following publications: (α) Jobling and Tyler-Smith (2000) and Kaladjieva et al. (2001); (β) Underhill et al. (2000); (γ) Hammer et al. (2001); (δ) Karafet et al. (2001); (ε) Semino et al. (2000); (ζ) Su et al. (1999); and (η) Capelli et al. (2001).*

Supplementary Results

Table S1. Overview of the included studies on loss of chromosome X (LOX).

Table S2. Overview of the included studies on X chromosome inactivation (XCI) skewing.

Table S3. Overview of the included studies on X chromosome variation.

Table S4. Overview of the included studies on loss of chromosome Y (LOY).

Table S5. Overview of the included studies on Y chromosome variation.

