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## Abstract

In this thesis I examine the text Culhwch ac Olwen from the Llyfr Gwyn Rhydderch, the White Book of Rhydderch, in an attempt to find out if there is a pattern to the agreement of copula sentences, and I compare the findings to the patterns we expect to find, based on non-copula sentences.

I expect to find that if there is a difference in the amount of agreement between a copula and its subject and between other verbs and theirs, the copula will show agreement more often.

There were only three instances of a copula followed by a subject that is a plural noun and all of these were in the third person singular. While there is too little data from which to draw any hard conclusions, this is in accordance with the literature and suggests that there is no reason to assume that the copula has different rules governing its agreement than other verbs have.
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## Theoretical introduction

In this thesis I look at the way in which the copula agrees with its subject. Specifically, I examine the cases where that subject is a plural noun (i.e. not a pronoun) that follows the verb, in order to see if there is a difference between how copula agrees with its subject, compared to other verbs.

## Grammatical agreement

First, let us examine what agreement entails.

There are two terms that are regularly used when discussing this topic: agreement and concord. These concepts sometimes mean different things to different authors, although most authors treat them like synonyms, and no consistent differentiation can be made ${ }^{1}$.

While there is a consensus amongst linguists about the general concept of agreement, the details of what qualifies as agreement are everything but clear. Agreement is found in about 75 percent of the world's languages, and where linguists agree that certain instances are a case of agreement, there is no formal definition. To give at least some definition of the term, Corbett ${ }^{2}$ gives the following quotation from Steele ${ }^{3}$ :
> "The term agreement commonly refers to some systematic covariance between a semantic or formal property of one element and a formal property of another. For example,

[^0]In more general terms, Corbett speaks of this as a controller, a target, a feature and a domain. The controller determines the form of the target with respect to a certain feature in a syntactical environment called the domain ${ }^{4}$.

There are a number of features which can be modified in a target: the most common ones are gender, number, case and, in the case of verbs, person. Some languages also allow for agreement in definiteness, i.e. a definite noun comes with a definite form of the adjective, whereas an indefinite noun triggers the indefinite form of the adjective ${ }^{5}$. One thing to keep in mind when considering agreement, is that the controlling feature does not always have to be visible on the controller. In his examples $21 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$, Corbett ${ }^{6}$ shows how a different verbal ending is triggered for a female speaker of Russian when speaking in the first person past tense, when compared to a male speaker in the same context.
ja pisal.

1st sg. "write" past sg. masc.
'I was writing.' (man)
ja pisal-a.'
1st sg. "write" past sg. fem.
'I was writing.' (woman)
We see here, that the distinction between masculine and feminine is not made in

[^1]the $1^{\text {st }}$ person singular pronoun that serves as the sentence's subject, but does show on the verbal ending.

How agreement works is a matter of strong debate. The answer must lie somewhere between two extreme positions ${ }^{7}$, which argue that it is either a purely semantic process or a syntactic one. The first says that a sentence is grammatical when there is compatibility between the controller and the target. This system, however, cannot account for the gender of non-personal nouns, or even pronouns that refer back to these nouns, as there is nothing inherently male, female or neuter about a given object. The other approach does account for this, because the syntax of the sentence requires it, but cannot account for sentences where, for instance, there is a singular subject denoting a group of people that triggers a plural verbal form, as the only reason for a plural form of the verb is semantic.

In Middle welsh there sometimes is a discrepancy between the person of the subject and that of the verb. This is the case when the subject is the constituent that is brought to the front of the sentence in the mixed order. When this subject is $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ person, the verb will still be in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular. For more on the mixed order, see the next section.

In subject-verb sentences we would expect agreement to occur in all cases, but Plein's research shows that this is not always the case ${ }^{8}$. There is a hierarchy in animacy that correlates with the amount of agreement we find. If the subject is a personal pronoun ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular pronouns excluded, as we cannot tell if there is agreement or if the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular form is triggered as standard form) she found that $99 \%$ of the cases showed agreement. When there is a non-pronominal

[^2]animate subject $61 \%$ of the cases showed agreement. Of the non-pronominal inanimate subjects only $31 \%$ agreed with the verb.

Middle Welsh also has non-agreement in number when a plural noun follows a verb. The verb tends to be singular in these cases. In Middle Welsh literature, we sometimes do find agreement in these cases, most probably due to foreign influences ${ }^{9}$, but it could be that the animacy hierarchy also plays a role here.

Since Middle Welsh does not express gender in its verbal inflection, this aspect is not relevant here.

For this thesis I limit myself to verbal agreement, i.e. if the verb and the subject agree in number in verb-subject sentences.

## The Middle Welsh verb

In Middle Welsh there are two ways in which a verbal action can be expressed. The verb occurs either as a verbal noun in periphrastic construction with bot 'to be', or as an inflected form, complete with tense, mood, person and number. For this research only the inflected verbs are of interest, because the copula does not use the periphrastic construction.

Where Modern Welsh is a VSO language, Middle Welsh was a verb second language. Although it has long been debated whether the verb second feature of Middle Welsh was a literary construct or not, Willis has concluded that verb second was fully functioning in Middle Welsh, and that the language shifted to VSO during its development to Modern Welsh ${ }^{10}$.

[^3]Because of this difference between Middle Welsh and Modern Welsh, the default word order in Middle Welsh is confusingly known as the abnormal order, i.e. abnormal when compared to Modern Welsh. Almost any constituent could be placed in front of the verb; the most common constituents are adverbs, subjects, nominal objects and verbal nouns or non-finite verbal phrases ${ }^{11}$.

Beside this abnormal order we also find the normal order, which was common in early Middle Welsh, but falling out of favour for a while before growing in popularity again, until it became the norm in Modern Welsh. In this word order the verb stands in initial position.

The final word order is the mixed order, where a constituent is placed at the front of the sentence when it is to be emphasised, preceded by the copula. Evans ${ }^{12}$ writes that the copula agreed with the main clause verb originally, but that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singularg form ys spread at the expense of the other forms. During the language's shift to Modern Welsh, it became more common to drop this initial copula, until it was eventually dropped entirely.

One further oddity of the Middle Welsh verb is that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular form is generally used before a plural noun subject ${ }^{13}$, though occasionally the plural form is also found. It is this behaviour that I shall take a look at with regards to the copula.

## Verbal agreement in Middle Welsh

In order to be able to determine if there is a difference in the way that copulae agree with their subjects compared to the way that other verbs do so, we first have to establish the norm.

[^4]In accordance with what we saw above, Plein ${ }^{14}$ confirms that in the case of a sentence with Verb-Subject word order, as well as with relative sentences, we expect the default $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular form of the verb whenever we do not find a personal pronoun as the subject. For cases where we find the subject to be a pronoun, we expect to find agreement, and, therefore, these cases are of no interest to this study.

In a study of the text Historia Gruffud vab Kenan, Plein \& Poppe ${ }^{15}$ found that in 30\% of the cases where there was a verb followed by a plural subject the verb agreed with its subject. While this still leaves $70 \%$ of the cases that conform to the theory, a deviation of $30 \%$ is quite high. They explain this percentage as an influence from the Latin text Vita Griffini Filii Conani, as the Welsh text is an adaptation of the Latin text, though they also state that given this number of exceptions, the Middle Welsh language must have had room in its grammar to tolerate these constructions. In her research studying a variety of Middle Welsh texts, Plein found that different texts in a number of other manuscripts show a varying amount of deviation from the expected amount of agreement between a verb and a plural subject following $\mathrm{it}^{16}$. While we would expect no agreement at all, she only found this in the Ymboth yr Enaid, Chwedleu Seith Doethon Rufein and Gramadegau'r Peinceirddiaid from the Llyfr Goch Hergest texts. In the Brut Dingestow manuscript, she found that the main text showed agreement in $21 \%$ of the cases and as much as $74 \%$ in the prophetic texts. In the Mabinogion there was agreement in $11 \%$ of the cases.

As we have seen, Middle Welsh seemed to allow for constructions where there is agreement between the verb and the following plural noun subject, but this

[^5]construction is far from the norm. In texts where we find these deviations from the norm, they are often limited to a low percentage of the cases. These deviations can usually be explained by influences from other languages with which the scribes would have been familiar, in particular Latin. It could be that animacy, given the impact it has in subject-verb sentences, might also play a role here. The only real exception appears to be the prophetic texts from the Brut Dingestow manuscript, where agreement seems to be the norm, rather than the exception.

Given these factors, it would seem reasonable to expect less agreement in texts with no Latin equivalent, and we might expect that older texts might show less room for the agreeing construction.

## The Middle Welsh bod 'to be’

The verb bod, 'to be' can be used in two functions: it can function as a substantive verb or a copula ${ }^{17}$. The majority of the paradigms of bod behave like any other strong verb, meaning that they are irregular in the root that they take, but still have one form per person, per number, per tense. This does not hold in third person forms in the indicative present, where there is a variety of options for how this verb can appear. For the singular these are: yw, (y) mae, (y) taw and oes, and for the plural: ynt, (y) maent and y maen. Of these, yw is used as the copula, oes is used as an existential verb: 'there exists', (y) taw as a locative verb: 'there is' and (y) mae can be both copula or locative. Charles-Edwards:

[^6][^7]> + Subject sentence. Hence the clefted $y$ may Peredur wyf $i$ preserves the word-order of both may Peredur and Peredur wyf $i .(\ldots)$ What Middle Welsh seems to be doing, therefore, is preserving the word-order of the un-embedded sentence even after it has been embedded[.]"18

The standard Old Welsh copula construction was C(opula) + P(redicate) + S(ubject), but this has shifted so that in the Middle Welsh era, most copula constructions are formed as PCS ${ }^{19}$. This change also introduced the possible use of the predicative particle $y n$ when the noun or adjective comes after the copula, although this construction is not used very often.

While the morphology and word-order of the copula are well described, there seems not to be any work on the agreement between the copula and the subject. In this thesis I examine if there is a difference in the way the copula agrees with its subject when compared to the way a normal verb does.

## Method

## The text

For this thesis I examine the text Culhwch ac Olwen as found in the edition by Bromwich and Evans ${ }^{20}$. This text is one of the oldest prose texts in the Middle Welsh corpus. Because it is a native Welsh story and because it is old, this text makes a good candidate for this research. The age means that we can expect as few

[^8]innovations as possible, and the fact that it is a Welsh story means that we can expect the minimal amount of influences from other languages, especially Latin.

The text is found in two manuscripts, the Llyfr Gwyn Rhydderch and the Llyfr Goch Hergest. The Llyfr Gwyn Rhydderch has the older, more conservative version of this story, but is, unfortunately, incomplete. The Llyfr Goch Hergest version is a later copy of, presumably, the same parent document, but the author of this manuscript is notoriously known to modernise the texts he copied.

The Llyfr Gwynn Rhydderch manuscript is dated to around 1350 A.D. ${ }^{21}$ In their sub paragraph on Language ${ }^{22}$ Bromwich and Evans write on the conservative word usage of the Llyfr Gwyn Rhydderch when compared to the Llyfr Goch Hergest. They suggest, here, that there is
"a close affinity with the Cynfreirdd, and (especially) with the Gogynfeirdd, with OW material, and with the Laws - rather than with later prose works. Other aspects of the language here, such as the occurance of rare old forms, serve to prove that the text is early."

As for the origins of the story they propose the end of the eleventh century or very early twelfth ${ }^{23}$.

Rodway ${ }^{24}$ argues that the occurrence of rarer older forms means that the text has to be early. He states that the word usage does, indeed, coincide with that of the Gogynfeirdd from the twelfth century, but also with that of law texts from the late

[^9]fifteenth century, which means that people must have had an understanding of the meaning these words up to that time.
> "I suggest (...) that Culhwch ac Olwen cannot be proved to have been composed in its present form any earlier than the middle of the twelfth century.

## Furthermore I believe that the affinity between the language of

 Culhwch ac Olwen and that of the court poets is easily explained if we assume that the author was himself a poet." ${ }^{25}$With some knowledge about the text and the manuscript it is in, we can now start analysing the text.

## Procedures

An analysis of the full text is beyond the scope of this thesis and I therefore only examine the more archaic part of the story, from the Llyfr Gwyn Rhydderch, though this is, unfortunately, incomplete. The first 824 lines in the edition by Bromwich and Evans are derived from the Llyfr Gwyn, whilst the remainder of the story is taken from the Llyfr Goch Hergest.

In order to be able to give a full analysis, i.e. being able to say what percentage, if any, deviates from the norm, all instances of copular agreement are taken into account. I have analysed the text and created an overview of all instances of the copula with its direct relevant context, which appears in this thesis as an appendix.

[^10]I analyse these results in order to see if we can determine anything on the way the copula agrees with its subject. For an offset comparison with normal verbs, the results are compared to the results found by Plein $\&$ Poppe $^{26}$ and Plein ${ }^{27}$.

## Creating appendix A

For this appendix, I went through the text and highlighted every instance of the verb bod. These instances, along with their immediate context, I then translated, so that I could analyse them, in order to determine whether or not the verb was used as a copula or as a substantive verb. All the copulae are listed in this appendix, together with the line number from the edition by Bromwich and Evans, an English translation of the sentence and a grammatical analysis of the verb, subject and predicate. For those sentences that have a plural noun subject following the verb, the order of the copula, predicate and subject, as well as the animacy of the subject are listed, in case future research might benefit from this.

## Expected results

I expect that if we find a difference in frequency in which the copula agrees with its subject, that this frequency will be higher than in the cases of other verbs.

I would expect this difference, because a copula sentence is, in its essence, of the type $A=B$. Because of this simplicity, which can be obscured by replacing $A$ and $B$ by more complex or embedded phrases, I would expect there to be a greater link between the verb and its subject, and that stronger connection might cause an increased likelihood for agreement to occur.

[^11]
## Results

The copula agrees with its subject in all of the cases where we find a singular subject and there are no instances of a plural noun subject with a plural form of the copula. There are, however, two cases (21 and 41 of the appendix) where there is a plural subject and one (44 of the appendix) with a dual subject where we find no agreement. These examples are given in full below.
(21) hyt y llall ym penn y ulwydyn y bu y kenhadeu yn krwydraw prep. phrase ind. pret. 3 sg . pl. noun. verbal noun "until the other end of the year the messengers were wandering"

The word order for this sentence is CSP. We find a plural personal noun as the subject, with the verb in the indicative preterit $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular and a verbal noun as the predicate.
oed gvynnach y falueu
ind. impf. 3 sg. adj. pl. noun
"her palms were whiter"

The word order here is CPS. In this sentence we have an inanimate plural subject, the verb is in the indicative imperfect $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular, with an adjectival predicate.
oed kochach y deu rud no'r fion ind. impf. 3 sg . adj. dual noun prep. phrase "her two cheeks were redder than foxglove"

Here we find the word order to be CPS again. This sentence consists out of an inanimate dual noun subject, the verb here is also in the indicative imperfect $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular and the predicate is an adjective.

## Analysis

I set out to determine if there is any basis on which to conclude that there is a difference in agreement patterns between copulae and other verbs.

The data found appear to be in line with Plein's findings ${ }^{28}$ that in the prose texts most cases with a third person plural subject following the verb occur with a singular form of the verb. Of these texts, the Mabinogion has the most deviation, with agreement in $11 \%$ of the cases.

There is a great difference between these data and the high amount of agreement Plein found in the prophecy section of the Brut Dingestow, but since they belong to different genres, it is hard to make a comparison.

Due to the scarcity of the data found in this research, we only have three cases of a copula followed by a plural noun subject, no significant statements can be made.

## Conclusion and discussion

Because I only looked at one text from one manuscript, there is, obviously, too little data to actually draw any hard conclusions, but this text shows no reason to assume that there is a difference between copulae and other verbs when it comes to agreement. It is, of course, possible that a study of other texts, or texts from other

[^12]manuscripts might indicate that there is a difference between the copula and normal verbs.

We have seen in Plein \& Poppe ${ }^{29}$ that Middle Welsh seems to allow for constructions where the verb does agree with its subject. And Plein's own research ${ }^{30}$ showed that in the case of the prophetic text in Brut Dingestow agreement seems to be the norm rather than the exception. It might therefore be interesting to see how copulae behave in these other texts and manuscripts or even other genres.

Given the strong link between animacy and agreement in subject-verb sentences Plein found ${ }^{31}$, it might also be of interest to investigate whether this might have an influence on copular agreement or in verb-subject sentences in general.
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|  | Line | Welsh | English | Verb | Subject | Predicate | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | Bonhedic hagen oed y mab | the boy was noble, however | Ind. Impf. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 2 | 12 | keuynderw dy Arthur oed | he was a cousin to Arthur | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |  |
| 3 | 18 | Drwc yw iti hagen llygru dy uab | it is bad to you to harm your son | Ind. Pres. 3 sg . | Vn. | Adj. |  |
| 4 | 30-1 | Sef yw honno gweirc Doget Urenhin | it is this one: the wife of King Doged | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Dem. |  |
| 5 | 47-8 | Gwreicca yssyd da iti, a mab | Seeking a wife is good to you, son | Ind. Pres. Rel. | Vn. | Adj. |  |
| 6 | 57-8 | Arthur yssyd geuynderw it. | Arthur is your cousin | Ind. Pres. Rel. | Sg. noun | Sg. noun |  |
| 7 | 83 | ni bo teu de benn, pyr y kyuerchy di? | might it not be your head, that you request it? | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |  |
| 8 | 103 | Ot agory y porth, da yw | if you open the gate, it is good | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Verb phrase | Adj. |  |
| 9 | 132-4 | ys dyhed a beth gadu dan wynt a glaw y kyffryw dyn a dywedy di | it is a desperate thing leaving under wind and rain such a man you say | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Vn. | Adj. + sg. <br> noun |  |
| 10 | 144 | Poet yn gystal y'th deon a'th niuer a'th catbritogyon | Let it be equally good to your nobles and your host and your leaders of hosts | Imp. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 11 | 144-5 | y bo y gwell hwnn. | as it should be | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 2 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 12 | 145 | ny bo didawl neb ohonaw | he should not be without a share of it | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Prep phrase |  |
| 13 | 145-6 | mal y mae kyflawn y kyuercheis I well I ti | As the greeting that I have given to you is complete | Ind. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 14 | 146-7 | boet kyflawn dy rat titheu a'th cret a'th etmic yn yr Ynys honn. | may it be complete your grace and your oath and your honour on this island | Imp. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 15 | 147 | Poet gwir Dyw, unben | Let it be the truth of God, chieftain | Imp. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |  |
| 16 | 265 | kei a dywedit y uot yn uab itaw | Cai was said to be as a son to him | vn. | 3 sg. pron. | Sg. noun |  |
| 17 | 266 | oer uyth uyd y galon | his heart will be forever cold | Imp. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
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| 18 | 324-5 | y dyd y bei drist y gellyngei y lleill weuyl idaw | the day was sad that he let loose the one (...) to him | Subj. Impf. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 330 | deu was y Wenhwyuar oedynt | they were two servants to Gwenhwyuar | Ind. Pres. 3 pl. | 3 pl . in verb | Pl. noun |  |
| 20 | 371-2 | a honno a uu teir oes gvyr yn uyw | and she was alive for three generations | Ind. Pret. 3 sg. | Sg. dem. | Adj. |  |
| 21 | 376-7 | hyt y llall ym penn y ulwydyn y bu y kenhadeu yn krwydraw | until the other end of the year the messengers were wandering | Ind. Pret. 3 sg. | Pl. noun | Vn. | CSP <br> personal |
| 22 | 379-80 | ac yd vyf i ettwa yn eiddywet | and I am again lacking | Ind. Pres. 1 sg. | 1 sg . pron. | Sg. noun |  |
| 23 | 387 | Budugawl oed Kei | Kei was victorious | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 24 | 389-90 | dyrnued uch y law ac arall is y law yt uyd[ei] yn sych | a hand breadth above his hand and another behind his hand used to be dry | Consuet. Past. 3 sg. | Noun phrase | Adj. |  |
| 25 | 390 | yr hynn a uei yn y law | that which would be in his hand | Subj. Impf. 3 sg. | Sg. dem. | Prep phrase |  |
| 26 | 391-2 | dyskymon vydei hynny utunt y gynneu tan | this was kindling for them for lighting a fire | Consuet. Past. $3 \mathrm{sg} .$ | Sg. dem. | Sg. noun |  |
| 27 | 396 | kyt bei unllofyac | although he was onehanded | Subj. Impf. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 28 | 406-7 | Nei y Arthur, uab y chwaer a'y gefynderw oed | he was the nephew of Arthur, the son of his sister and his cousin | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Noun phrase |  |
| 29 | 414 | Pan debygynt vy eu bot yn geuagos | When they thought that they were close | vn. | Poss. 3 pl. | Adj. |  |
| 30 | 419 | noc amws naw gayaf oed mwy | than a horse nine winters was as big | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 31 | 430-1 | ny bo berthach | may it never be fairer | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 32 | 431 | byth y boch chwy no minheu | that you may be than I | Subj. Pres. 2 pl. | 2 pl . pron. | [no explicit predicate] |  |
| 33 | 434 | pan yw Yspydoden Penkawr | that he is Yspydoden Penkawr | Ind. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |  |
| 34 | 435 | pwy wyt? | who are you | Ind. Pres. 2 sg . | 2 sg . in verb | Interr. |  |
| 35 | 435 | Custenhin Amhynwyedic vyf i | I am Custenhin Amhynwyedic | Ind. Pres. 1 sg. | 1 sg . pron. | Sg. noun |  |
| 36 | 437 | pwy ywch? | who are you | Ind. Pres. 2 pl. | 2 pl . in verb | Interr. |  |
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| 37 | 445 | nyt oed uynnych it caffel douot | getting treasure was not frequent to you | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Verb phrase | Adj. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 470-1 | oed dyhed kelu y ryw was hwnn | concealing to such a boy was deplorable | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Verb phrase | Adj. |  |
| 39 | 490 | oed melynach y fenn | her head was yellower | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 40 | 491 | oed gwynnach y chnawd | her flesh was whiter | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 41 | 491-2 | oed gvynnach y falueu | her palms were whiter | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Pl. noun | Adj. | CPS <br> inanimate |
| 42 | 494 | nyd oed olwg tegach | not was an eye fairer | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 43 | 495 | no bronn alarch gwynn oed gwynnach y dwy uron | her two breasts were whiter than the breast of a white swan | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 44 | 496 | oed kochach y deu rud no'r fion | her two cheeks were redder than foxglove | Ind. Impf. 3 sg . | Du. Noun | Adj. | CPS inanimate |
| 45 | 507-8 | a da yw it o dihengy | and it is good to you if you escape | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 46 | 534-5 | a'e fedwar gorhendat yssyd uyw ettwa | and her fourth great-grandfather that is as big again | Ind. Rel. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Adj. |  |
| 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 541 ; \\ & 556-7 \end{aligned}$ | Poet emendigeit y foc yt uerwit indi | May the forge that it was heated in her be cursed | Imp. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 48 | 561 | ac a uo mwy os mynhy | and that it be more if you desire it | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 49 | 571-2 | a'e losci ar uyneb y tir hyt pan uo glo hwnnw | and its burning on the face of the land until it will be cinders | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |  |
| 50 | 572 | a'e ludu a uo teil itaw a uynhaf | and its ashes will be the greatest manure | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | Sg. noun | Sg. noun |  |
| 51 | 573-4 | hyd pan uo hwnnw a wnelit | so that that will be what would have been done | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | Sg. dem. | Rel. clause |  |
| 52 | $\begin{aligned} & 576-7 ; \\ & 582-3 \end{aligned}$ | kyd tybyckych na bo hawd | Although you might think that it would be easy | Subj. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |  |
| 53 | 598 | ys hwy yr rei hynny | it is them, those ones | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | 3 pl. pron. |  |
| 54 | 607-8 | hyt pan vo ef a uo penlliein gwynn am penn vym merch ar dy neithawr | so that it may be a white veil on my daughter's head on your wedding feast | Subj. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . pron. | Sg. noun |  |
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| 55 | 610 | mel a uo chwechach naw mod no mel kynteit heb wchi heb wenyn y vragodi y wled | honey should be nine times sweeter than honey of the first swarm without drones or bees to make braggot for the fest | Subj. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 687 | na pheth yw | nor what it is | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Interr. |
| 57 | 695 | $Y$ geuynderw yw | he is his cousin | Ind. Pres. 3 sg . | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |
| 58 | 697 | penkynyd Iwerdon yw | he is the chieftain of Ireland | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |
| 59 | 704-5 | canys breu vyd | for she shall be brittle | Consuet. Fut. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |
| 60 | 721-2 | hagyr yw idaw adaw y teirnas | it is unbecoming of him to promise the kingdom | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |
| 61 | 725 | ellyngywr da yw | he is a good unleasher | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |
| 62 | 732 | gwr kyuoethawc yw | he is a wealthy man. | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |
| 63 | 732-4 | sef yw yr achaws | this is the reason | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. dem. | Sg. noun |
| 64 | 759-60 | hynny vyd kaer uaen gymrwt a welisit | that is the fort of stone and mortar that was seen | Consuet. Pres. $3 \mathrm{sg} .$ | Sg. dem. | Sg. noun |
| 65 | 770-1 | ny bo teu dy penn, pyr y kyuerchi dy? | should it not be your head that you request? | Subj. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |
| 66 | 775-6 | Yslipanwr cledyueu goreu yn y byt wyf ui | I am the best furbisher of swords in the world (the furbisher of the best swords) | Ind. Pres. 1 sg. | 1 sg. pron. | Sg. noun |
| 67 | 783 | ys gwers | it is a good while | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Sg. noun |
| 68 | 783 | yd wyf yn keissaw a olchei uyg cledyf | that I am seeking (one) who would polish my sword | Ind. Pres. 1 sg. | 1 sg . in verb | Vn. |
| 69 | 792 | yr hwnn a uo da genhit ti | that was good with you | Subj. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. dem. | Adj. |
| 70 | 793 | malpei teu uei | if it were yours that it were | Subj. Impf. 3 <br> sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Poss. Dem. |
| 71 | 795 | oed well genhyf | It is better with me | Ind. Impf. 3 sg. | 3 sg . in verb | Adj. |
| 72 | 795-6 | bei oll yt uei val hynn | if all should be like this | Subj. Impf. 3 sg. | Pron. | Prep. phrase |
| 73 | 802-3 | Budugawl yw Bedwyr | Bedwyr is victorious | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |
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| 74 | 810 | goreu dyn yw | I am the best man | Ind. Pres. 1 sg. | 1 sg. in verb | Noun <br> phrase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 75 | 815 | da yw weith | (the) work is good | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | Sg. noun | Adj. |
| 76 | 815 | a ranc bod yw genhyf | and it is pleasing with me | Ind. Pres. 3 sg. | 3 sg. in verb | Adj. |
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## VerkLaring kennisneming regels m.b.t. plagiat

## Fraude en plagiaat

Wetenschappelijke integriteit vormt de basis van het academisch bedrijf. De Universiteit Utrecht vat iedere vorm van wetenschappelijke misleiding daarom op als een zeer ernstig vergrijp. De Universiteit Utrecht verwacht dat elke student de normen en waarden inzake wetenschappelijke integriteit kent en in acht neemt.

De belangrijkste vormen van misleiding die deze integriteit aantasten zijn fraude en plagiaat. Plagiaat is het overnemen van andermans werk zonder behoorlijke verwijzing en is een vorm van fraude. Hieronder volgt nadere uitleg wat er onder fraude en plagiaat wordt verstaan en een aantal concrete voorbeelden daarvan. Let wet: dit is geen uitputtende lijst!

Bij constatering van fraude of plagiaat kan de examencommissie van de opleiding sancties opleggen. De sterkste sanctie die de examencommissie kan opleggen is het indienen van een verzoek aan het College van Bestuur om een student van de opleiding te laten verwljderen.

## Plagiaat

Plagiaat is het overnemen van stukken, gedachten, redeneringen van anderen en deze laten doorgaan voor eigen werk. Je moet altijd nauwkeurig aangeven aan wie ideeën en inzichten zijn ontleend, en voortdurend bedacht $z \operatorname{ljn}$ op het verschil tussen citeren, parafraseren en plagiëren. Niet alleen bij het gebruik van gedrukte bronnen, maar zeker ook bij het gebruik van informatie die van het internet wordt gehaald, dien je zorgvuldig te werk te gaan bij het vermelden van de informatiebronnen.

De volgende zaken worden in elk geval als plagiaat aangemerkt:

- het knippen en plakken van tekst van digitale bronnen zoals encyclopedieën of digitale tijdschriften zonder aanhalingstekens en verwijzing;
- het knippen en plakken van teksten van het internet zonder aanhalingstekens en verwijzing;
- het overnemen van gedrukt materiaal zoals boeken, tijdschriften of encyclopedieën zonder aanhalingstekens en verwijzing;
- het opnemen van een vertaling van bovengenoemde teksten zonder aanhalingstekens en verwijzing;
- het parafraseren van bovengenoemde teksten zonder (deugdelijke) verwijzing: parafrasen moeten als zodanig gemarkeerd zijn (door de tekst uitdrukkelijk te verbinden met de oorspronkelijke auteur in tekst of noot), zodat niet de indruk wordt gewekt dat het gaat om eigen gedachtengoed van de student;
- het overnemen van beeld-, geluids- of testmateriaal van anderen zonder verwijzing en zodoende laten doorgaan voor eigen werk;
- het zonder bronvermelding opnieuw inleveren van eerder door de student gemaakt eigen werk en dit laten doorgaan voor in het kader van de cursus vervaardigd oorspronkelijk werk, tenzlj dit in de cursus of door de docent uitdrukkelijk is toegestaan;
- het overnemen van werk van andere studenten en dit laten doorgaan voor eigen werk. Indien dit gebeurt met toestemming van de andere student Is de laatste medeplichtig aan plagiaat;
- ook wanneer in een gezamenlijk werkstuk door een van de auteurs plagiaat wordt gepleegd, zijn de andere auteurs medeplichtig aan plagiaat, Indien zij hadden kunnen of moeten weten dat de ander plagiaat pleegde;
- het indienen van werkstukken die verworven zijn van een commerciële instelling (zoals een internetsite met uittreksels of papers) of die al dan niet tegen betaling door iemand anders zijn geschreven.
De plagiaatregels gelden ook voor concepten van papers of (hoofdstukken van) scripties die voor feedback aan een docent worden toegezonden, voorzover de mogelijkheld voor het insturen van concepten en het krijgen van feedback in de cursushandieiding of scriptieregeling is vermeld.


## Universiteit Utrecht

In de Onderwijs- en Examenregeling (artikel 5.15) is vastgelegd wat de formele gang van zaken is als er een vermoeden van fraude/plagiaat is, en welke sancties er opgelegd kunnen worden.

Onwetendheid is geen excuus. Je bent verantwoordelijk voor je eigen gedrag. De Universiteit Utrecht gaat ervan uit dat je weet wat fraude en plagiaat zijn. Van haar kant zorgt de Universiteit Utrecht ervoor dat je zo vroeg mogelijk in je opleiding de principes van wetenschapsbeoefening bijgebracht krijgt en op de hoogte wordt gebracht van wat de instelling als fraude en plaglaat beschouwt, zodat je weet aan welke normen je je moeten houden.


Dit formulier lever je bij je begeleider in als je start met je bacheloreindwerkstuk of je master scriptle.

Het niet indienen of ondertekenen van het formulier betekent overigens niet dat er geen sancties kunnen worden genomen als blijkt dat er sprake is van plagiaat in het werkstuk.
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